

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/993,345	93,345 11/14/2001		Kazuhito Miyaki	100809-00089 (SCEY 19.175	5804
26304	7590	04/22/2004	·	EXAMINER	
KATTEN N 575 MADIS		ZAVIS ROSENM	NGUYEN, KIM T		
NEW YORK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,				3713	7
				DATE MAILED: 04/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>O</i>				
	•	09/993,345	MIYAKI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
į		Kim Nguyen	3713					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicatio			lress				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is te to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of period will apply and will expire SIX (6) statute, cause the application to becom	ay a reply be timely filed If thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this corne ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.				
Status								
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	27 January 2004.						
•		This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
5) 🗌	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the applic 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) 1-10 and 14-16 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 11-13 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consideration.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
10)	The specification is objected to by the Example The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the country The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected or b) objected or the drawing(s) be held in abore or rection is required if the draw	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ving(s) is objected to. See 37 CFI					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	ments have been received. ments have been received e priority documents have b tureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	in Application No een received in this National S	Stage				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ew Summary (PTO-413)					
3) Infor	ee of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 or No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5) Notice	No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-	-152)				

Art Unit: 3713

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed on January 27, 2004 (paper No. 7) has been received and considered. By this amendment, claims 1-16 are now pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Best (US Patent No. 4,305,131).

As per claim 11-13, Best discloses a story branching control method comprising the steps of providing a branching point in a flow of the story (col. 9, lines 58-60; and col. 22, lines 1-4); and determining a branch destination in accordance with a predetermined variant value (the time) (col. 8, lines 40-46 and 13-15; and col. 22, lines 37-45). Best does not explicitly disclose using the method for a video game and generating a notification signal to notify a branch point has been passed. However, Best discloses that the movie could be competitive games (col. 10, lines 28-31). Further, implementing a competitive game into a video game and notifying the branching point has been passed in a search procedure would have been well known to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to implement the games of Best to a

Art Unit: 3713

video game machine and notify a branching point has been passed in order to allow the player to play the competitive game on a computer and inform the search procedure to the player.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1-10 and 14-16 are allowed.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Prior arts of record do not disclose a story control method for a video game whose content of a story is branched in accordance with a player's instruction, the method comprises providing a branching point selectable by a user for determining a flow of the story; receiving a search instructing input for searching for the branching point; searching the branching point for a predetermined period of time after receiving the search instructing input; determining whether the branching point exists during the predetermined period of time after receiving the search instructing input; and determining a branch destination of the story in accordance with a success or failure of determining whether the branching point exists during the predetermined period of time.

Cited Reference

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Sugiyama et al (US 6,560,763) discloses generating a pass notification signal notifying the branching point has been passed (abstract; col. 1, lines 64-67; and col. 2, lines 1-3).

Art Unit: 3713

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed January 27, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument in pages 9-10, on claims 11-12, Best does disclose a branching point selected by a player (col. 5, lines 29-30 and col. 9, lines 58-60). Further, claim 11 does not explicitly claim that *the player selects a branching point*. Moreover, Best does disclose determining a branching destination in accordance with a predetermined variant value (the time variant) (col. 8, lines 40-46 and 13-15; and col. 22, lines 37-45). Further, generating a signal to indicate that a branch point has been passed would have been well known in a search procedure. Support for the well known feature would be found in the teaching of Sugiyama et al (US Patent No. 6,560,763) in col. 1, lines 64-67; and col. 2, lines 1-3, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to generate a notification signal when a branch point has been passed as taught by Sugiyama to the branching control method Best in order to notify a search process being conducted to the player. Further, claim 12 does not explicitly claim generating a notification signal when a branch point has been passed *as unselected by the player*.

Art Unit: 3713

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box AF:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306, (for formal communications; please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE")

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza II, Arlington, VA Second Floor (Receptionist).

Art Unit: 3713

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kim Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-7915. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:30AM to 5:00PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teresa Walberg, can be reached on (703) 308-1327. The central official fax number is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Kim Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3713

kn

Date: March 12, 2004